

**Before the
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
Washington, DC 20554**

In the Matter of)	
Service Rules for Advanced Wireless Services)	
in the 2155-2175 MHz Band)	WT Docket No. 07-195
Service Rules for Advanced Wireless Services)	
in the 1915-1920 MHz, 1995-2000 MHz,)	WT Docket No. 04-356
2020-2025 MHz and 2175-2180 MHz Bands)	

COMMENTS OF JESSE CRAWFORD:

FCC Commisioners:

It has come to my attention that you are currently seeking comment on WT docket 04-356 – “Service Rules for Advanced Wireless Services in the 1915-1920 MHz, 1995-2000 MHz, 2020-2025 MHz and 2175-2180 MHz Bands.” The primary body of this document is a modification to current FCC code that would permit Advanced Wireless Services (AWS) to operate in the specified frequency ranges.

I am very concerned about several provisions of this document. My primary concern is focused around § 27.1193 (a). This states that companies providing AWS-3 services must block material “that constitute[s] obscenity or pornography and, in context, as measured by *contemporary community standards* and existing law, any images or text that otherwise would be harmful to teens and adolescents.”

I am very concerned about how exactly this section will be implemented. As has been found in the past, there is no clear definition of what is “obscenity or pornography... [or] otherwise would be harmful to teens and adolescents.” I am concerned about the ways in which this may be applied. You state that this will be measured based on “contemporary community standards.” However, how exactly do you intend to define these community standards?

This leaves the statement open to be used and abused by anyone who seeks to stifle the distribution of material that they do not agree with – particularly if their disagreement is in line with the local community. This means that minority opinions or unpopular viewpoints may be blocked in compliance with FCC code.

It is my opinion, and the opinion of many others with whom I have spoken, that the contents of this proposal represents a risk to the First Amendment rights of American citizens. Although I do strongly support the concept of free and universally available broadband internet access, I do not agree that it should come at the cost of the severe and poorly defined filtering proposed.

I would strongly recommend, as a United States citizen and one concerned about the continuing liberty and justice which are so essential to this nation, that the FCC not accept this proposal.

Jesse B. Crawford

503-465-4953
jesse@ce-int.net

2880 SE Harrison St.
Portland, OR 97214-5650